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#### Abstract

Within ultraviolet radiation, ultraviolet B (UVB) is the most energetic and damaging to humans. At the protein level, UVB irradiation downregulates the expression of antioxidant enzymes leading to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Due to lacking of a global analysis of UVB-modulated corneal proteome, we investigate in vitro the mechanism of UVBinduced corneal damage to determine whether hyaluronic acid (HA) is able to reduce UVB irradiation-induced injury in human corneal epithelial cells. Accordingly, human corneal epithelial cell lines (HCE-2) were irradiated with UVB, followed by incubation with low molecular weight HA (LMW-HA, 100 kDa ) or high molecular weight HA (HMW-HA, 1,000 kDa) to investigate the physiologic protection of HMWHA in UVB-induced corneal injury, and to perform a global proteomic analysis. The data demonstrated that HA treatment protects corneal epithelial cells in the UVB-induced wound


#### Abstract

model, and that the molecular weight of HA is a crucial factor. Only HMW-HA significantly reduces the UVB-induced cytotoxic effects in corneal cells and increases cell migration and wound-healing ability. In addition, proteomic analysis showed that HMW-HA might modulate cytoskeleton regulation, signal transduction, biosynthesis, redox regulation, and protein folding to stimulate wound healing and to prevent these UVB-damaged cells from cell death. Further studies evidenced membrane-associated progesterone receptor component $1(\mathrm{mPR})$ and malate dehydrogenase (MDH2) play essential roles in protecting corneal cells from UVB irradiation. This study reports on UVB-modulated cellular proteins that might play an important role in UVB-induced corneal cell injury and show HMW-HA to be a potential substance for protecting corneal cells from UVB-induced injury. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 54:429-449, 2013. © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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## INTRODUCTION

Ultraviolet B (UVB) irradiation is one of the most common corneal injuries among the most severe ocular
accidents. A recent report shows that the cornea absorbs more than $90 \%$ of UVB, and excessive exposure to UVB might induce edema, photokeratitis, photo-ophthalmia, and epithelial damage [Downes et al., 1994; Kolozsvari
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et al., 2002]. In UVB-induced cell damage, UVB irradiation has been shown to generate excessive levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which might damage DNA and activate both extrinsic and intrinsic cell-death pathways by activating cell death membrane receptors, the caspase-8 pathway, mitochondrial death signals, and the caspase-9 pathway [Okada and Mak, 2004; Pauloin et al., 2009]. In the molecular mechanism, corneal irradiation with UVB results in the downregulation or inactivation of antioxidant enzymes, including superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, and catalase in the corneal epithelium, which might contribute to corneal damage from UVB through ROS accumulation [Cejkova et al., 2000; Lodovici et al., 2003]. In contrast, repeated irradiation with UVB has been reported to overexpress nitric oxide (NO) synthase, resulting in NO production, peroxynitrite formation, and lipid peroxidation [Cejkova et al., 2005]. However, a global analysis of a UVB-modulated corneal proteome in previous studies is lacking.

HA serves as one of the major extracellular matrix components upon connective tissues and is reported abundant inside the vitreous body of the eye. It is biosynthesized as large $1,000 \mathrm{kDa}$ disaccharide chains and is then gradually degraded in the extracellular matrix to give intermediate size HA ( $10-100 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) or even small fragments $(<1 \mathrm{kDa})$ [Pauloin et al., 2008]. HA has been described to functionally modulate cell migration, wound healing and cancer cell metastasis [Toole, 2004] and the presence of endogenous HA was observed in various diseased human corneas, including alkali-burn wounded corneas [Fitzsimmons et al., 1994; Chung et al., 1996]. In recent works, HMW-HA has shown to decrease benzalkonium chloride- and UVB-induced apoptosis in human corneal epithelial cells [Pauloin et al., 2008, 2009] and enable to speed up wound healing of corneal epithelium in diabetic rats [Yang et al., 2010], yet the role of various forms of HA on mechanisms of corneal wound healing process following alkali burns has not been known.

In this study, the cellular targets of UVB-irradiation of corneal cells were monitored by lysine-labeling 2D-DIGE [Chan et al., 2005, 2006; Huang et al., 2010; Lai et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011a,b; Hung et al., 2011; Chou et al., 2010, 2012; Wu et al., 2012]. These strategies combined with MALDI-TOF MS were used to determine whether cellular protein abundance was altered. The results demonstrated a number of differentially labeled proteins that were identified from 2-DE by MS. Subsequently, we examined the protective ability of HA in UVB-irradiated human corneal epithelial cells by performing biological assays including cell proliferation and wound healing. Our data demonstrated that the presence of HA may have a therapeutic role to suppress UVB injury and the molecular weight of HA is a crucial factor in corneal cell protection. Moreover, proteomic analysis of the effects of HA on corneal UVB-irradiation was also evaluated in this study.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Chemicals and Reagents

Generic chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis), while reagents for 2D-DIGE were purchased from GE Healthcare (Uppsala, Sweden). All primary antibodies were purchased from GeneTex (Hsinchu, Taiwan) and anti-mouse and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies were purchased from GE Healthcare (Uppsala, Sweden). The LMW-HA and HMW-HA used in this study were both medical grade (listed in European Pharmacopoeia) and purchased from Shiseido Co. (Tokyo, Japan). These HAs contain less than $1 \%$ protein content and less than $0.0003 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mg}$ bacterial endotoxins. All the chemicals and biochemicals used in this study were of analytical grade.

## Cell Line and Cell Cultures

The human corneal epithelial cell line HCE-2 was a gift from National Taiwan University Hospital, Taiwan. The cell line was maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium and F-12 medium (DMEM/F-12) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum, l-glutamine ( 2 $\mathrm{mM})$, streptomycin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per mL ), penicillin ( 100 IU per mL ), epidermal growth factor ( 20 ng per mL ) (all from Gibco-Invitrogen Corp., $\mathrm{UK})$, insulin $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per mL$)$ (Sigma) and hydrocortisone $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per mL$)$ (Sigma). Cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

## MTT Cell Proliferation Assay

HCE-2 cells growing exponentially were trypsinized and seeded at a density of 5,000 cells per well into 96 -well plates. After washing with PBS three times, the HCE-2 cells were transiently irradiated with indicated doses of UVB or left untreated followed by incubation in serumfree medium for 24 hr . The detail MTT procedure has been described in our previous publication [Chou et al., 2012].

## Scratch Wound Healing Assay

Exponentially growing HCE-2 cells were trypsinized and seeded at a density of 30,000 cells per well into 24 -well plates for 24 hr incubation ( $\sim 90 \%$ confluence). The scratch wounds were made by a sterile $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ pipette tip through a pre-marked line. After removal of the resulting debris from five lineal scratches, HCE-2 monolayer was subsequently treated with indicated doses of UVB and rinsed three times with PBS followed by incubated with serum-free medium for 24 hr . The wound areas were displayed by taking images just above the interchanges between scratched wound areas and pre-marked lines.

## Sample Preparation and 2D-DIGE-Based Proteomic Analysis

The detail experimental procedures have been described in our previous publications [Lai et al., 2010]. Briefly, HCE-2 cells in normal growth medium at $\sim 80 \%$ confluence were used for proteomic analysis. HCE-2 cells with various treatments or left without treatment were lysed with 2-DE lysis buffer. Before performing 2D-DIGE analysis, protein samples were labeled with $N$-hydroxy succinimidyl ester-derivatives of the cyanine dyes Cy2, Cy3, and Cy5. Protein sample ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was minimally labeled with 375 pmol of either Cy3 or Cy5 for comparison on the same 2-DE. In contrast, to facilitate image matching and cross-gel statistical comparison, a pool of all samples was also prepared and labeled with Cy2 at a molar ratio of 2.5 pmol Cy 2 per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of protein as an internal standard for all gels. Thus, the triplicate samples and the internal standard could be run and quantify on multiple 2-DE. Afterward, the fluorescence 2-DE were scanned directly between the low fluorescent glass plates using an Ettan DIGE Imager and gel analysis was
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Fig. 1. UVB-induced alterations on cell cycle, cell apoptosis, cell proliferation and wound closure ability in HCE-2 cells. (A) Cell cycle analysis following UVB irradiation of HCE-2 cells for indicated doses. The percentage of cells at each stage of the cell cycle was analyzed by flow cytometry after DNA staining with propidium iodide. Propidium iodide fluorescence intensity is directly proportional to the quantity of DNA per nuclei. The G1 cell cycle phase is the primary peak, the S phase fraction is the area between the G1 and G2/M peaks, and the rightmost peak is the G2/M fraction. (B) HCE-2 cells were irradiated with indicated doses of UVB or left untreated for 24 hr . After irradiation, $10^{6}$ cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 and propidium iodide in $1 \times$ binding buffer at room temperature for 15 min , and then stained cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. Annexin V is presented in $x$-axis as FL1-H, and propidium iodide is presented in $y$-axis as FL2-H. LR quadrant indicates the
percentage of early apoptotic cells (Annexin V positive cells), and UR quadrant indicates the percentage of late apoptotic cells (Annexin V positive and propidium iodide positive cells). (C) MTT-based cell proliferation assays were performed where 10,000 HCE-2 cells were plated into 96 -well plates in medium containing $10 \%$ FBS. After 24 hr , the cells were irradiated with the indicated doses of UVB. Cells were incubated with MTT and then DMSO added and the plates shaken for 20 min followed by measurement of the absorbance at 540 nm . Values were normalized against untreated samples and are the average of 4 independent measurements $\pm$ the standard deviation. (D) The wound HCE-2 cells were treated with $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB. The wound healing of HCE-2 cells were photographed at the times indicated. Each treatment condition has been performed at least three times. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
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Fig. 2. 2D-DIGE analysis of UVB-dependent differentially expressed proteins in HCE-2 cells. UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells were lysed and arranged for a triplicate 2D-DIGE experiment. Protein samples (150 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ each) were labeled with Cy-dyes and separated using $24 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{pH} 3-10$ nonlinear IPG strips. The 2D-DIGE images of the protein samples from untreated and $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells were shown as
performed using DeCyder 2-D Differential Analysis Software v7.0 (GE Healthcare) to co-detect, normalize and quantify the protein features in the images. Features detected from non-protein sources (e.g. dust particles and dirty backgrounds) were filtered out. Spots displaying a $\geqq 1.5$ average-fold increase or decrease in abundance with a $P$ value $<0.05$ were selected for protein identification.

## Protein Staining, In-Gel Digestion, and MALDI-TOF MS Analysis

Colloidal coomassie blue G-250 staining was used to visualize CyDyelabeled protein features in 2-DE followed by excised interested post-stained gel pieces for MALDI-TOF MS identification. The detailed procedures for protein staining, in-gel digestion, MALDI-TOF MS analysis, and the algorithm used for data processing were described in our previous publication [Lai et al., 2010]. The spectrometer was also calibrated with a peptide calibration standard (Bruker Daltonics) and internal calibration was performed using trypsin autolysis peaks at $m / z 842.51$ and $m / z$ 2211.10. Peaks in the mass range of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 800$ to 3,000 were used to generate a peptide mass fingerprint that was searched against the Swiss-Prot/TrEMBL database (v57.12) with 513,877 entries using Mascot software v2.2.06 (Matrix Science, London, UK). The following parameters were used for the search: Homo sapiens; tryptic digest with a maximum of one missed cleavage; carbamidomethylation of cysteine, partial protein N-terminal acetylation, partial methionine oxidation and partial modification of glutamine to
well as overlaid pseudo-colored image processed with ImageQuant Tool (GE Healthcare) (top images). The differentially expressed identified proteins with greater than 1.5 -fold differences are annotated with circles (bottom image). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
pyroglutamate, and a mass tolerance of 50 ppm . Identification was accepted based on significant MASCOT Mowse scores ( $P<0.05$ ), spectrum annotation and observed versus expected molecular weight and $\mathrm{p} I$ on 2-DE.

## Immunoblotting Analysis

Immunoblotting analysis was used to validate the differential abundance of mass spectrometry identified proteins. The detailed experimental procedures were described in our previous reports [Chen et al., 2011a,b; Hung et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2011]. All of primary antibodies used for expression validation were purchased from Genetex (Hsinchu, Taiwan).

## Immunofluorescence

The detailed experimental procedures for immunofluorescence analysis was described in our previous reports [Lai et al., 2010].

## siRNA Design, Construction, and Transfection

The siRNA against mPR and MDH2 were synthesized by Invitrogen. The targeting sequences $5^{\prime}$-AAU UUG CGG CCU UUG GUC ACA UCG A- $3^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$-AGU GAA CUG AGA CUC CCA GUC ACU C- $3^{\prime}$ against mPR and sequences $5^{\prime}$-UUC AGG UCC GAG GUA GCC UUU CAC A- $3^{\prime}$, $5^{\prime}$-AAU CGU GGC AUU GGU GUU GAA CAG G- $3^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$-UAA CGA AGG AAC AUU CCA CAA CAC C-3' against MDH2
 UVB Irradiation

| Spot <br> no. | Swiss-prot no. | Protein name | MW | pI | No. matched peptides | MOWSE <br> score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seq } \\ \operatorname{cov} .(\%) \end{gathered}$ | Subcellular location | Functional classification | $\begin{gathered} \text { UVB } \\ \text { treated/ } \\ \text { untreated } \end{gathered}$ | Matched peptides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1283 | P10809 | 60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial | 61,187 | 5.7 | 7 | 74/56 | 14 | Mitochondrion | Protein folding | 2.55 | R.TVIIEQSWGSPK.VK.GANPVEIR.R |
| 1108 | P05386 | 60S acidic ribosomal protein $P 1^{b}$ | 11,621 | 4.26 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 91 / 56 \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 14 | Cytoplasm | Biosynthesis | 1.99 | K.AAGVNVEPFWPGLFAK.A |
| 919 | 095336 | 6-phosphogluconolactonase | 27,815 | 5.7 | 6 | 104/56 | 30 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 2.53 | R.ELPAAVAPAGPASLAR.WR.WTLGFCDER.L |
| 157 | P11021 | 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein | 72,402 | 5.07 | 9 | 93/56 | 17 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Biosynthesis | 3.13 | R.ITPSYVAFTPEGER.LK.VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR.Q |
| 147 | P11021 | 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein | 72,402 | 5.07 | 10 | 121/56 | 17 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Biosynthesis | 3.07 | R.VEIIANDQGNR.IR.ITPSYVAFTPEGER.L |
| 1265 | P54819 | Adenylate kinase isoenzyme 2, mitochondrial ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26,689 | 7.67 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 57 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 4 | Mitochondrion | Biosynthesis | 1.63 | K.NGFLLDGFPR.T |
| 490 | P06733 | Alpha-enolase | 47,481 | 7.01 | 6 | 70/56 | 17 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 2.34 | R.AAVPSGASTGIYEALELR.DK.YNQLLR.I |
| 474 | P06733 | Alpha-enolase | 47,481 | 7.01 | 9 | 83/56 | 22 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 2.14 | R.AAVPSGASTGIYEALELR.DR.IGAEVYHNLK.N |
| 468 | P06733 | Alpha-enolase | 47,481 | 7.01 | 5 | 82/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 2.1 | R.AAVPSGASTGIYEALELR.DK.VVIGMDVAASEFFR.S |
| 518 | P06733 | Alpha-enolase | 47,481 | 7.01 | 6 | 77/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 2.02 | R.AAVPSGASTGIYEALELR.DK.LAQANGWGVMVSHR.S |
| 522 | P06733 | Alpha-enolase | 47,481 | 7.01 | 8 | 101/56 | 20 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 1.9 | R.AAVPSGASTGIYEALELR.DR.IGAEVYHNLK.N |
| 547 | P06733 | Alpha-enolase | 47,481 | 7.01 | 6 | 84/56 | 18 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 1.74 | R.AAVPSGASTGIYEALELR.DR.YISPDQLADLYK.S |
| 782 | P04083 | Annexin A1 | 38,918 | 6.57 | 10 | 113/56 | 33 | Cell membrane | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.52 | K.TPAQFDADELR.AK.GLGTDEDTLIEILASR.T |
| 816 | P08758 | Annexin A5 | 35,971 | 4.94 | 7 | 66/56 | 22 | Cell Membrane | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.65 | R.GTVTDFPGFDER.AK.GLGTDEESILTLLTSR.S |
| 944 | P04632 | Calpain small subunit 1 | 28,469 | 5.05 | 6 | 76/56 | 14 | Cytoplasm/Cell membrane | Signal transduction/ Cytoskeleton remodelling/ Ca regulation | 1.97 | R.HPDLKTDGFGIDTCR.SK.TDGFGIDTCR.S |
| 206 | P27797 | Calreticulin | 48,283 | 4.29 | 6 | 73/56 | 19 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 2.07 | K.EQFLDGDGWTSR.W R.FYALSASFEPFSNK.G |
| 250 | P27797 | Calreticulin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 48,283 | 4.29 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 115 / 56 \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.62 | K.EQFLDGDGWTSR.W |
| 722 | P48729 | Casein kinase I isoform alpha | 39,118 | 9.59 | 5 | 57/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Cell cycle | 1.99 | K.AEFIVGGKYK.L R.DIKPDNFLMGIGR.H |
| 1111 | P23528 | Cofilin-1 | 18,719 | 8.22 | 6 | 82/56 | 38 | Cytoplasm | Cell motility/ Ca regulation | 1.67 | K.AVLFCLSEDKK.NK.EILVGDVGQTVDDPYATFVK.M |
| 1267 | Q9H223 | EH domain-containing protein 4 | 61,365 | 6.33 | 5 | 62/56 | 12 | Cell membrane | Transport | 2.15 | R.DIQSLPQK.AR.EYQISAGDFPEVK.A |
| 754 | P29692 | Elongation factor 1-delta | 31,217 | 4.9 | 7 | 85/56 | 32 | Cytoplasm | Biosynthesis | 1.84 | K.SLAGSSGPGASSGTSGDHGELVVR.IR.IASLEVENQSLR.G |
| 1258 | P26641 | Elongation factor 1-gamma | 50,429 | 6.25 | 7 | 82/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Biosynthesis | 1.59 | M.AAGTLYTYPENWR.AK.ALIAAQYSGAQVR.V |
| 542 | P49411 | Elongation factor Tu , mitochondrial | 49,852 | 7.26 | 11 | 111/56 | 30 | Mitochondrion | Biosynthesis | 1.69 | R.DKPHVNVGTIGHVDHGK.TK.KYEEIDNAPEER.A |
| 914 | P30040 |  | 29,032 | 6.77 | 6 |  | 21/3 |  | Protein folding | 1.64 | K.LDKESYPVFYLFR.DK.ESYPVFYLFR.D |

TABLE I. (continued).

| Spot <br> no. | Swiss-prot no. | Protein name | MW | pI | No. matched peptides | MOWSE <br> score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seq } \\ \operatorname{cov} .(\%) \end{gathered}$ | Subcellular location | Functional classification | UVB treated/ untreated $^{\text {a }}$ | Matched peptides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Endoplasmic reticulum protein ERp29 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 74 / 56 \text { 82/56 } \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Endoplasmic Reticulum |  |  |  |
| 893 | P30040 | Endoplasmic reticulum protein ERp29 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 29,032 | 6.77 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 62 / 5672 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 20/3 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Protein folding | 1.62 | K.FDTQYPYGEK.QK.LDKESYPVFYLFR.D |
| 1118 | Q9GZV4 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor $5 \mathrm{~A}-2^{\text {b }}$ | 17,124 | 5.38 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 110 / 56 \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Nucleus | Biosynthesis | 2.06 |  |
| 182 | Q96AE4 | Far upstream element-binding protein 1 | 67,690 | 7.18 | 8 | 95/56 | 13 | Nucleus | Gene regulation | 2.13 | K.VPDGMVGFIIGR.GK.IQIAPDSGGLPER.S |
| 1180 | P09382 | Galectin-1 | 15,048 | 5.34 | 6 | 90/56 | 58 | Cytoplasm | Cell-cell interaction | 1.9 | K.DSNNLCLHFNPR.FR.FNAHGDANTIVCNSK.D |
| 1007 | P09211 | Glutathione S-transferase P | 23,569 | 5.43 | 7 | 101/56 | 40 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 2.84 | M.PPYTVVYFPVR.GK.FQDGDLTLYQSNTILR.H |
| 993 | P09211 | Glutathione S-transferase P | 23,569 | 5.43 | 7 | 102/56 | 45 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 2.46 | M.PPYTVVYFPVR.GK.FQDGDLTLYQSNTILR.H |
| 198 | P08107 | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1 | 70,294 | 5.48 | 7 | 70/56 | 14 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.91 | R.TTPSYVAFTDTER.LK.NQVALNPQNTVFDAKR.L |
| 32 | P34932 | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 4 | 95,096 | 5.18 | 10 | 90/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.53 | R.AGGIETIANEYSDR.CR.CTPACISFGPK.N |
| 176 | P11142 | Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein | 71,082 | 5.37 | 7 | 100/56 | 14 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 2.84 | R.TTPSYVAFTDTER.LK.TVTNAVVTVPAYFNDSQR.Q |
| 1256 | P11142 | Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein | 71,082 | 5.37 | 9 | 123/56 | 18 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.54 | K.VEIIANDQGNR.TR.TTPSYVAFTDTER.L |
| 968 | P04792 | Heat shock protein beta-1 | 22,826 | 5.98 | 10 | 92/56 | 40 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.62 | R.VPFSLLR.GR.LFDQAFGLPR.L |
| 201 | P61978 | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 51,230 | 5.39 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 66/56 72/56 } \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 16/2 | Nucleus | Gene regulation | 1.87 | R.SRNTDEMVELR.IR.TDYNASVSVPDSSGPER.I |
| 231 | P14866 | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 60,719 | 6.65 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 96 / 56 \text { 56/56 } \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 16/2 | Nucleus | Gene regulation | 2.41 | R.YYGGGSEGGR.AK.TPASPVVHIR.G |
| 1098 | P37235 | Hippocalcin-like protein 1 | 22,413 | 5.21 | 6 | 84/56 | 32 | Cytoplasm | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.92 | K.LRPEVLQDLR.EK.IYANFFPYGDASK.F |
| 1246 | P00492 | Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase | 24,792 | 6.21 | 6 | 75/56 | 32 | Cytoplasm | Biosynthesis | 1.77 | R.VFIPHGLIMDR.T.K.FFADLLDYIK.A |
| 339 | P12268 | Inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase 2 | 56,226 | 6.44 | 5 | 65/56 | 13 | Nucleus | Biosynthesis | 2.01 | R.HGFCGIPITDTGR.MR.LVGIISSR.D |
| 376 | P08729 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 | 51,443 | 5.5 | 9 | 105/56 | 20 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 2.02 | M.SIHFSSPVFTSR.SR.SAYGGPVGAGIR.E |
| 369 | P08729 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 | 51,443 | 5.5 | 8 | 100/56 | 18 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.61 | M.SIHFSSPVFTSR.S.R.SAYGGPVGAGIR.E |
| 429 | P05787 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8 | 53,671 | 5.52 | 9 | 83/56 | 21 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 2.16 | K.WSLLQQQKTAR.SR.LEGLTDEINFLR.Q |
| 467 | P05787 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8 | 53,671 | 5.52 | 9 | 72/56 | 16 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.63 | R.SYTSGPGSR.I.K.WSLLQQQKTAR.S |
| 423 | P05787 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8 | 53,671 | 5.52 | 6 | 54/56 | 11 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.58 | R.QLETLGQEK.L.R.LEGLTDEINFLR.Q |
| 1053 | Q04760 | Lactoylglutathione lyase | 20,992 | 5.12 | 8 | 91/56 | 30 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 1.97 | K.DFLLQQTMLR.V.K.KSLDFYTR.V |
| 728 | Q14847 | LIM and SH3 domain protein 1 | 30,097 | 6.61 | 6 | 66/56 | 18 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 2.31 | K.KPYCNAHYPK.QK.QQSELQSQVR.Y |
| 736 | Q14847 | LIM and SH3 domain protein 1 | 30,097 | 6.61 | 8 | 85/56 | 26 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 2 | K.VNCLDKFWHK.AK.KPYCNAHYPK.Q |
| 1262 | P40925 | Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 36,631 | 6.91 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 70 / 5694 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 203 | Mitochondrion | TCA cycle | 1.53 | K.ENFSCLTR.LK.NVIIWGNHSSTQYPDVNHAK.V |
| 1025 | 000264 | Membrane-associated progesterone receptor component 1 | 21,772 | 4.56 | 5 | 77/56 | 16 | Cell membrane | Receptor | 1.84 | R.DFTPAELR.RR.DFTPAELRR.F |
| 827 | Q15691 | Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB family member 1 | 30,151 | 5.02 | 6 | 86/56 | 26 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 3.18 | M.AVNVYSTSVTSDNLSR.HK.LEHEYIQNFK.I |
| 1102 | P19105 |  | 19,839 | 4.67 | 8 | 97/56 | 57 | Cytoplasm | Cell motility | 2.05 | K.LNGTDPEDVIR.NR.NAFACFDEEATGTIQEDYLR.E |

TABLE I. (continued).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spot } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Swiss-prot no. | Protein name | MW | pI | No. matched peptides | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOWSE } \\ & \text { score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seq } \\ \operatorname{cov.}(\%) \end{gathered}$ | Subcellular location | Functional classification | UVB treated/ untreated $^{\text {a }}$ | Matched peptides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Myosin regulatory light chain MRLC3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1021 | Q06830 | Peroxiredoxin-1 | 22,324 | 8.27 | 7 | 75/56 | 27 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 1.85 | K.IGHPAPNFK.A.R.TIAQDYGVLK.A |
| 1016 | Q06830 | Peroxiredoxin-1 | 22,324 | 8.27 | 7 | 90/56 | 27 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 1.65 | K.IGHPAPNFK.AR.TIAQDYGVLK.A |
| 955 | Q13162 | Peroxiredoxin-4 | 30,749 | 5.86 | 6 | 63/56 | 20 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 1.63 | R.TREEECHFYAGGQVYPGEASR.VR.EEECHFYAG GQVYPGEASR.V |
| 584 | P00558 | Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 | 44,985 | 8.3 | 5 | 66/56 | 18 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 1.61 | K.NNQITNNQR.I.K.LGDVYVNDAFGTAHR.A |
| 933 | P18669 | Phosphoglycerate mutase $1^{\text {b }}$ | 28,900 | 6.67 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 93/5664/56 } \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 354 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 3.91 | R.HGESAWNLENR.F.R.FSGWYDADLSPAGHEEAK.R |
| 1174 | P07737 | Profilin-1 | 15,216 | 8.44 | 6 | 73/56 | 40 | Cytoplasm | Cell motility | 1.97 | K.TFVNITPAEVGVLVGKDR.SR.DSLLQDGEFSMDLR.T |
| 379 | P30101 | Protein disulfide-isomerase A3 | 57,146 | 5.98 | 11 | 107/56 | 21 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Protein folding | 1.69 | R.LAPEYEAAATR.L.K.QAGPASVPLR.T |
| 1038 | Q99497 | Protein DJ-1 | 20,050 | 6.33 | 5 | 62/56 | 25 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 2.03 | K.VTVAGLAGKDPVQCSR.D.K.EILKEQENR.K |
| 594 | Q15293 | Reticulocalbin-1 | 38,866 | 4.86 | 6 | 60/56 | 18 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.82 | K.TFDQLTPDESK.E.K.TFDQLTPDESKER.L |
| 289 | P31948 | Stress-induced-phosphoprotein 1 | 63,227 | 6.4 | 10 | 98/56 | 16 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 2.03 | K.LDPHNHVLYSNR.S.R.KAAALEFLNR.F |
| 283 | P31948 | Stress-induced-phosphoprotein 1 | 63,227 | 6.4 | 7 | 77/56 | 10 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.83 | K.LDPHNHVLYSNR.S.R.KAAALEFLNR.F |
| 341 | P78371 | T-complex protein 1 subunit beta | 57,794 | 6.01 | 8 | 73/56 | 19 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.97 | M.ASLSLAPVNIFK.A.K.IHPQTIIAGWR.E |
| 338 | P40227 | T-complex protein 1 subunit zeta | 58,444 | 6.23 | 6 | 62/56 | 9 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.56 | R.AQAALAVNISAAR.G.R.GLQDVLR.T |
| 1238 | Q6DKK2 | Tetratricopeptide repeat protein 19 | 42,715 | 5.57 | 5 | 60/56 | 14 | Mitochondrion | Cell cycle | 1.85 | R.LLSWSLGRGFLR.A.K.QEDNAIIEISLK.L |
| 676 | Q6DKK2 | Tetratricopeptide repeat protein $19$ | 42,715 | 5.57 | 6 | 62/56 | 14 | Mitochondrion | Cell cycle | 1.64 | R.LLSWSLGRGFLR.A.K.AITYTYDLMANLAFIR.G |
| 1000 | P30048 | Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase, mitochondrial ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 28,017 | 7.67 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 78 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \\ 58 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 54 | Mitochondrion | Redox regulation | 1.85 |  |
| 1243 | P37802 | Transgelin-2 | 22,548 | 8.41 | 8 | 98/56 | 41 | Cytoplasm | Unknown | 4.69 | R.GPAYGLSR.E R.DDGLFSGDPNWFPKK.S |
| 964 | P60174 | Triosephosphate isomerase | 26,938 | 6.45 | 7 | 103/56 | 39 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 2.35 | K.FFVGGNWK.M. K.VPADTEVVCAPPTAYIDFAR.Q |
| 1252 | P61088 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 N | 17,184 | 6.13 | 5 | 70/56 | 23 | Nucleus | Gene regulation | 2.11 | K.IYHPNVDK.L.K.DKWSPALQIR.T |

[^1]TABLE II. Alphabetic List of Identified Differentially Down-Regulated Proteins After 2D-DIGE Coupled With MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry Analysis in HCE-2 Cells in Response to UVB Irradiation

| Spot no. | Swiss-prot no. | Protein name | MW | pI | No. matched peptides | MOWSE score | Seq cov. <br> (\%) | Subcellular location | Functional classification |  | Matched peptides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 872 | P62258 | 14-3-3 protein epsilon | 29,326 | 4.63 | 8 | 74/56 | 27 | Cytoplasm | Signal transduction | -2.04 | K.LAEQAER.YK.LICCDILDVLDK.H |
| 907 | P61981 | 14-3-3 protein gamma | 28,456 | 4.8 | 7 | 63/56 | 23 | Cytoplasm | Signal transduction | -1.56 | R.LAEQAER.YK.NVTELNEPLSNEER.N |
| 393 | Q16658 | Fascin | 55,123 | 6.84 | 8 | 85/56 | 16 | Cytoplasm | Cell motility | -2.21 | K.YLTAEAFGFK.VR.FLIVAHDDGR.W |
| 424 | P00367 | Glutamate dehydrogenase 1 , mitochondrial | 61,701 | 7.66 | 6 | 71/56 | 13 | Mitochondrion | Metabolism | -1.69 | R.DDGSWEVIEGYR.AK.LQHGSILGFPK.A |
| 752 | P62873 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{I} / / \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{S}) / \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{T})$ subunit beta-1 | 38,151 | 5.6 | 8 | 99/56 | 22 | Cytoplasm | Signal transduction | -1.57 | K.ACADATLSQITNNIDPVGR.IK.IY AMHWGTDSR.L |
| 837 | P63244 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-2-like 1 | 35,511 | 7.6 | 7 | 104/56 | 28 | Cell Membrane | Signal transduction | -2.53 | R.DETNYGIPQR.AR.LWDLTTGTTTR.R |
| 867 | P63244 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-2-like 1 | 35,511 | 7.6 | 7 | 104/56 | 28 | Cell Membrane | Signal transduction | -2.56 | R.DETNYGIPQR.AR.LWDLTTGTTTR.R |
| 322 | P08729 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 | 51,443 | 5.5 | 6 | 62/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.5 | R.EVTINQSLLAPLR.LR.LPDIFEAQIAGLR.G |
| 555 | P04181 | Ornithine aminotransferase, mitochondrial | 48,846 | 6.57 | 7 | 84/56 | 20 | Mitochondrion | Biosynthesis | -2.27 | K.TVQGPPTSDDIFER.EK.YGAHNYHPLPVALER.G |
| 1248 | P30041 | Peroxiredoxin-6 | 25,133 | 6 | 6 | 84/56 | 31 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | $-3.08$ | M.PGGLLLGDVAPNFEANTTVGR.IR.DFTP VCTTELGR.A |
| 539 | P00558 | Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 | 44,985 | 8.3 | 5 | 62/56 | 14 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | -1.66 | K.NNQITNNQR.IK.NNQITNNQRIK.A |
| 878 | P61289 | Proteasome activator complex subunit 3 | 29,602 | 5.69 | 6 | 72/56 | 22 | Proteasome | Protein degradation | -1.55 | R.RLDECEEAFQGTK.VR.TVESEAASYLDQISR.Y |
| 903 | P25788 | Proteasome subunit alpha type-3 | 28,643 | 5.19 | 5 | 70/56 | 25 | Proteasome | Protein degradation | -2.11 | K.AVENSSTAIGIR.CK.LYEEGSNKR.L |
| 1037 | P28062 | Proteasome subunit beta type-8 | 30,677 | 7.63 | 6 | 87/56 | 21 | Proteasome | Protein degradation | -1.54 | K.FQHGVIAAVDSR.AR.ASAGSYISALR.V |
| 305 | P34897 | Serine hydroxymethyltransferase, mitochondrial | 56,414 | 8.76 | 11 | 121/56 | 21 | Mitochondrion | Biosynthesis | -1.51 | R.GLELIASENFCSR.AK.TGLIDYNQLALTAR.L |
| 1234 | Q13148 | TAR DNA-binding protein $43^{\text {b }}$ | 45,053 | 5.85 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 141 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 4 | Nucleus | Biosynthesis | -1.7 |  |
| 301 | P17987 | T-complex protein 1 subunit alpha | 60,819 | 5.8 | 6 | 60/56 | 10 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | -2.74 | K.IHPTSVISGYR.LR.EQLAIAEFAR.S |
| 1220 | Q99832 | T-complex protein 1 subunit eta | 59,842 | 7.55 | 7 | 79/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | -2.06 | K.QVKPYVEEGLHPQIIIR.AK.LPIGDVATQYFADR.D |
| 361 | P23381 | Tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic | 53,474 | 5.83 | 5 | 68/56 | 12 | Cytoplasm | Biosynthesis | -2.06 | R.ATGQRPHHFLR.RK.KPFYLYTGR.G |
| 360 | P68363 | Tubulin alpha-1B chain | 50,804 | 4.94 | 12 | 122/56 | 33 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.71 | R.AVFVDLEPTVIDEVR.TR.QLFHPEQLITGK.E |
| 1284 | P68363 | Tubulin alpha-1B chain | 50,804 | 4.94 | 11 | 114/56 | 31 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.77 | R.AVFVDLEPTVIDEVR.TR.QLFHPEQLITGK.E |
| 340 | P68366 | Tubulin alpha-4A chain | 50,634 | 4.95 | 5 | 71/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -2.34 | R.AVFVDLEPTVIDEIR.NR.QLFHPEQLITGK.E |
| 390 | P07437 | Tubulin beta chain | 50,095 | 4.78 | 7 | 95/56 | 19 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.59 | R.AILVDLEPGTMDSVR.SK.GHYTEGAELVDSVL DVVR.K |
| 855 | P45880 | Voltage-dependent anionselective channel protein $2^{\text {b }}$ | 32,060 | 7.49 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 80/56109/56 } \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 286 | Mitochondrion | Transport | -1.94 | K.GFGFGLVK.LK.WCEYGLTFTEK.W |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average ratio of differential expression $(P<0.05)$ between UVB-irradiation $\left(200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ and untreated cells calculated from triplicate gels. Proteins where the changes between UVB irradiation/untreated are significantly less than -1.5 -fold differences are listed in this table.
${ }^{6}$ These proteins have been identified by MALDI-TOF/TOF MS. The parameters (sequence coverages, MASCOT values, matched peptide numbers, and matched peptide sequences) are obtained from MALDI-TOF/ TOF MS sequence analysis.


Fig. 3. Percentage of differentially expressed proteins between UVB-treated and UVB-untreated HCE-2 cells according to their biological functions $(\mathbf{A})$ and subcellular locations $(\mathbf{B})$. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
were designed and verified to be specific by Blast search against the human genome, and sequences of similar GC contents which do not match any known human coding sequence were used for negative control against mPR and MDH2. Transfection was mediated with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, cells were transfected with 60 nM of mPR siRNA, 60 nM MDH 2 siRNA or the corresponding control (pGCsi-control) in serum free medium containing Lipofectamine RNAiMAX for 4 hr followed by recovered in medium containing $10 \%$ FCS for 24 hr . The efficiency of siRNA knockdown was monitored with immunoblotting by using primary antibodies against mPR and MDH2.

## Flow Cytometry Analysis for Apoptosis Detection

Annexin-V/propidium iodide (PI) double assay was performed using the Annexin V, Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate Detection kit (Life technologies). Following UVB-irradiation, cells were typsinized from culture dish
and washed twice with cold PBS; $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells were resuspended in 500 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ binding buffer and stained with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated annexin V according to the manufacturer's instructions. Propidium iodide (PI) $(1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ was added and mixed gently to incubate with cells for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. After incubation period, samples were subjected to FCM analysis in 1 hr . using BD Accuri C6 Flow Cytometry (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The data were analyzed using Accuri CFlow ${ }^{@}$ and CFlow Plus analysis software (BD Biosciences).

## RESULTS

## UVB-Induced Shifts in Protein Expression Profiles in Human Corneal Epithelial HCE-2 Cells

To evaluate the UVB effect on human corneal epithelial cells (HCE-2), we exposed these cells to UVB in a
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represent fold-changes in protein expression and the vertical axis indicates the identified proteins. Additional details for each protein can be found in Table I. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
$0 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ to $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ increases the percentage of total apoptotic cells (LR + UR) in HCE-2 from $13.7 \%$ to $40.6 \%$. Thus, UVB has been shown to directly affect UVB-induced HCE-2 cell apoptosis (Fig. 1B). We performed an MTT assay to determine the UVB-induced cell damage. Exposure to UVB indicated a dose-dependent loss of cell proliferation in HCE-2 (Fig. 1C). At a dose of $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, we detected a significant loss $(50 \%)$ of cell proliferation at 24 hr . We then studied the UVB effect on the corneal epithelial cell-wound closure. The results demonstrated that $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB reduces the wound closure in HCE-2 cells. Figure 1D shows chronological changes in the areas of $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB-induced epithelial defects. The results also indicate that epithelial wound healing is relatively rapid within 8 hr after wounding in control HCE-2. In contrast, no significant restoration of wound areas was found when HCE-2 cells were irradiated with $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB. These results show that UVB has a considerable effect on corneal epithelial wound healing.

We found HCE-2 cells obtained 24 hr after $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB irradiation or non-irradiation. Two cell lysate samples were analyzed using 2D-DIGE to examine changes in protein expression induced by UVB irradiation. The


Fig. 5. Representative immunoblotting analyses for selected differentially expressed proteins identified by 2D-DIGE/MALDI-TOF MS for UVBuntreated or -irradiated HCE-2 cells. The levels of identified proteins, (A) cytokeratin 8, (B) STIP1, (C) peroxiredoxin 6, (D) HSP-60 in $200 \mathrm{~mJ} /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ doses of UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells versus untreated ones were

confirmed by immunoblotting. The levels of these proteins were visualized by 2-DE images (top right panels) and three-dimensional spot images (bottom right panels). GAPDH is used as a protein level loading control in immunoblotting. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

To verify the upregulation or downregulation of the identified proteins, we performed immunoblotting analysis on certain proteins that we found modulated by UVB irradiation in HCE-2 cells, compared with the control cells without UVB irradiation (Fig. 5). Among them, we used specific antibodies against cytokeratin 8, STIP1, peroxiredoxin 6, and HSP-60. The immunoblotting results revealed that most of these verified proteins showed the same pattern of expression with 2D-DIGE analysis (Tables I and II). These results also strengthen the validation of 2DDIGE analysis in this study.

## HMW-HA Improves Cell Proliferation and Facilitates Cell Migration and Wound Healing in UVB-Irradiated HCE-2 Cells

In our previous studies, we reported that HA improves cell proliferation and facilitates cell migration and wound healing in alkali-burned injuries in human corneal epithelial cells. To clarify whether HA mediates corneal cell protection against UVB irradiation, we treated UVBirradiated HCE-2 cells with $0.05 \%$ or $0.3 \%$ LMW-HA or HMW-HA or left them untreated to investigate the HA effect on corneal epithelial cell proliferation and migration. The results demonstrated that both LMW-HA and HMW-HA improve cell proliferation and the cell migration rate after treatment with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ dose of UVB (200


Fig. 6. Effect of LMW-HA and HMW-HA on HCE-2 cell proliferation, migration ability, and wound healing ability after UVB-irradiation. (A) HCE-2 cells were incubated in serum free medium and treated with 200 $\mathrm{mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB. After three washes with PBS, HCE-2 were incubated in serum free medium containing indicated concentrations of HA or left untreated for 24 hr . Cell proliferation was subsequently determined by MTT cell proliferation assay. Data are mean $\pm$ SD of six independent experiments. (B) A cloning ring was placed onto the marked circumference inside each well of 24 -well tissue culture plates and the HCE- 2 cell suspensions were seeded into the cloning ring for an overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the rings were lifted. The cell monolayers were washed once with PBS and the experiment was initiated by the irradiation of 200
$\mathrm{mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB or left untreated followed by washed with PBS. The damaged HCE-2 monolayers were immediately supplied with serum free medium containing indicated concentrations of LMW-HA or HMW-HA for 24 hr before stained with crystal violet for measuring migration pixel areas. Data are mean $\pm$ SD of six independent experiments. (C) The wound corneal epithelial cells (HCE-2) were treated with indicated concentrations of LMW-HA or HMW-HA in serum free medium or with serum free medium alone after the HCE-2 cells were transiently irradiated with $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB. The wound healing of HCE-2 cells were photographed at the times indicated. Each treatment condition has been performed at least three times.
$\mathrm{mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ), and HMW-HA showed a considerably higher reduction in cell proliferation compared with LMW-HA treatment (Fig. 6A). However, no significant difference exists in the cell migration ability between LMW-HA and HMW-HA (Fig. 6B). To clarify corneal epithelial wound healing by HA, we examined the effects of various doses
of LMW-HA and HMW-HA on wound closure in HCE-2. Figure 6C shows the chronological changes in the areas of $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB-induced epithelial defects, followed by incubation in a serum-free medium containing $0 \%$, $0.05 \%$, or $0.3 \%$ LMW-HA or HMW-HA. The results indicated that epithelial wound healing was relatively


Fig. 7. Immunofluorescence and immunoblotting analysis of morphological, protein localization and protein phosphorylation changes in HCE-2 cells in response to HA treatment and pre-treatment with UVB. The wound HCE-2 cells on cover slips were either left untreated or irradiated with $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB followed by treated with indicated concentrations of HA for indicated periods before fixation and staining for DAPI and Factin. Each set of three fields were taken using the same exposure and images are representative of six different fields. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
rapid within 8 hr after wounding, and slowed throughout 8 to 24 hr . We observed a positive healing effect in UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells after treatment with $0.3 \%$ HMW-HA. Compared with this condition, wound area restoration decreased significantly when HCE-2 cells were incubated in $0.05 \%$ LMW-HA, $0.3 \%$ LMW-HA, or $0.05 \%$ HMW-HA. These results show that $0.3 \%$ HMWHA has a profound effect on UVB-irradiation-induced corneal epithelial wound healing.

## HMW-HA-Modulated Proliferation and Cytoskeletal Proteins Against UVB-Irradiated HCE-2 Cells

The assembly and disassembly of actin networks are the major driving forces of cell movements. Actin polymeration and depolymeration can be modulated by profilin and cofilin, respectively, thereby regulating actin dynamics and cell migration. Further investigation by immunostaining demonstrated that $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB
irradiation induced a disruption in the cytoskeletal polarity in the leading edge of HCE-2 cells (Fig. 7). However, an incubation of $0.3 \%$ HMW-HA after UVB irradiation promoted F-actin polarity and restored the wound closure (Fig. 7). These observations indicate that actin polymerization/depolymerization modulate peripheral actin organization and HCE-2 polarity after adding 0.3\% HMWHA, presumably leading to an efficient regulation of protrusion dynamics and wound healing of UVB-damaged corneal cells.

## Global Analysis of Protein Expression in Untreated or UVB-Irradiated HCE-2 Cells with or without HMW-HA Treatment

To clarify the human corneal epithelial cell response to HMW-HA-mediated protection pathways against UVB irradiation, we performed a 2D-DIGE experiment to examine changes in protein expression in UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells treated with 0.05\% HMW-HA and 0.3\% HMW-HA. The analysis revealed more than 85 protein features that displayed differential expression ( $>1.3$-fold; $P<0.05$ ) among the 3 conditions (Fig. 8). The proteins in 32 of these features were subsequently identified by MALDI-TOF MS and MS/MS (Tables III and IV). These differentially expressed proteins function primarily in cytoskeleton regulation, signal transduction, biosynthesis, redox regulation, and protein folding (Fig. 9). Numerous identified proteins showed UVB-dependent changes that were partially reversed by HMW-HA treatment. The protein spot 1265 (adenylate kinase isoenzyme 2) was 1.63 fold upregulated by UVB irradiation, but recovered to become 1.36 -fold downregulated when these cells were treated with $0.05 \%$ HMW-HA (Tables I and II). The protein spot 1102, identified as the myosin regulatory light chain MRLC3, was 2.05 -fold upregulated by UVB irradiation, but was significantly downregulated by 1.46 -fold when treated with $0.3 \%$ HMW-HA (Tables I and II). The protein spot 855 , identified as voltage-dependent anionselective channel protein 1 , was 1.94 -fold downregulated by UVB irradiation, but was significantly upregulated by 1.4 -fold when treated with $0.05 \%$ HMW-HA (Tables I and II). These data confirm that HMW-HA partially-if not completely-rescues UVB-induced cell death signals, which are essential for corneal repair processes such as cell proliferation, cell migration, and wound healing.

## Evaluating the Roles of mPR and MDH2 on UVB Irradiation in Corneal Cells Using siRNA Knockdown

We found mPR and MDH2 to be overexpressed in UVB-irradiated corneal cells, which are responsible for intracellular signal transduction, energy metabolism, and exchanging reducing equivalents. Thus, we performed knockdown experiments on HCE-2 cells to evaluate the roles of mPR and MDH2 in UVB-irradiated corneal cells.


Fig. 8. 2D-DIGE analysis of UVB-induced differential protein expression profiles in human corneal epithelial cells with post-treated by HMWHA or left untreated. Total cellular proteins ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ each) purified from $200 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ UVB treated HCE-2 cells before treated with indicated concentrations of HMW-HA for 24 hr were labeled with Cy-dyes and separated using $24 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{pH} 3$ to 10 nonlinear IPG strips followed by resolved with $12.5 \%$ SDS-PAGE. The 2D-DIGE images of UVB-irradiated HCE-2
control and UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells followed by incubated with indicated concentrations of HMW-HA at appropriate excitation and emission wavelengths were pseudo-colored and overlaid with ImageQuant Tool (top panels). The differentially expressed identified protein features are annotated with circles. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

Immunoblot analysis showed an efficiency that is greater than $90 \%$ in the reduction of endogenous mPR and MDH2 protein levels when GAPDH and $\beta$-tubulins were the internal standards. We maintained mPR and MDH2 knockdown efficiency for more than 5 days (data not shown). The result indicated that mPR and MDH2 knockdown with 60 mM of siRNA followed by irradiation with the indicated UVB doses leads to UVB dose-dependent reduced proliferation in HCE-2 cells compared with the scramble siRNA transfected controls (Figs. 10A and 10B), implying that mPR and MDH2 play important roles in protecting HCE-2 cells from UVB irradiation.

## DISCUSSION

Over the past few decades, the rapid progress of industrial development has resulted in a thinning ozone layer and an increase in solar UVB radiation reaching the earth. The human eye is one of the most sensitive among all organs in its response to external damage. The cornea is located on the outer surface of the eye and suffers more injuries from outside environments. Although the clinical symptoms of UVB-induced damage on the cornea are well characterized, the molecular mechanisms causing this damage require further elucidation. Various approaches have


Fig. 9. Percentage of differentially expressed proteins between UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells with post-treated by HMWHA or left untreated according to their biological functions (A) and subcellular locations (B). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
been used to investigate the biological effects of UVB exposure on the cornea, specifically on gene and protein expression levels [Shi et al., 2006; Black et al., 2011; Ardan and Cejkova, 2012]. For example, UVB irradiation of the cornea leads to a deficiency or inactivation in antioxidant enzymes (superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, and catalase) in the corneal epithelium, which might contribute to corneal damage from UVB and the reactive oxygen products it generates [Cejkova et al., 2000; Lodovici et al., 2003]. In contrast, NO synthase was significantly upregulated in the cornea through repeated UVB irradiation and results in the stimulation of NO production, peroxynitrite formation and lipid peroxidation [Cejkova et al., 2005]. However, no global analysis on the UVBmodulated corneal proteome exists in our knowledge. We
thus monitored UVB irradiation-induced alterations in the protein expression of corneal epithelial cells by using lysine-labeling 2D-DIGE. The protein expression profile identified 95 proteins that showed different expression levels in UVB-treated HCE-2 cells compared with nonirradiated cells. Most of these differentially expressed proteins play a role in protein folding and cytoskeleton regulation, implying that UVB might induce corneal protein aggregation, leading to the loss of corneal transparency and a disturbance in wound closure following UVBinduced injury. Our results demonstrated that UVB induced significant cytotoxicity on HCE-2, and we observed a dramatic reduction in cell proliferation (confirmed by at least 3 independent MTT assays), migration ability, and the wound-healing rate following UVB-induced injury. These
TABLE III. Alphabetic List of Identified Differentially Up-Regulated Proteins After 2D-DIGE Coupled With MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry Analysis in UVB-Irradiated HCE-2 Cells in Response to HMW-HA Treatment

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spot } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Swiss-prot <br> no. | Protein name | MW | pI | No. matched peptides | MOWSE score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seq cov. } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Subcellular location | Functional classification | 0.05\% <br> HMW-HA/ <br> UVB treated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.3\% <br> HMW-HA/ <br> UVB treated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \% \\ \text { HMW-HA/0.05\% } \\ \text { HMW-HA }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | Representative matched peptides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 507 | P13645 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal $10^{\circ}$ | 59,703 | 5.13 | 7 | 65/56 | 11 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.35 | 2.3 | 1.7 | K.GSLGGGFSSGGFSGGSFSR.GK. HGNSHQGEPR.D |
| 550 | 043852 | Calumenin ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 37,198 | 4.47 | 6 | 82/56 | 26 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.27 | 1.49 | 1.17 | K.VHNDAQSFDYDHDAFLGAEEAK. TK.TFDQLTPEESKER.L |
| 1145 | 075347 | Tubulin-specific chaperone $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 12,904 | 5.25 | 7 | 60/56 | 37 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.3 | 1.46 | 1.12 | K.QAEILQESR.MR.RLEAAYLDLQR.I |
| 275 | P13645 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal $10^{\circ}$ | 59,703 | 5.13 | 6 | 65/56 | 8 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.2 | 1.39 | 1.16 | K.GSLGGGFSSGGFSGGSFSR.GR. LKYENEVALR.Q |
| 549 | P05783 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal $18^{\text {c }}$ | 48,029 | 5.34 | 12 | 114/56 | 20 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.09 | 1.38 | 1.27 | R.STFSTNYR.SR.LASYLDR.V |
| 704 | Q9Y2Z0 | Suppressor of G2 allele of SKP1 homolog ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 41,284 | 5.07 | 5 | 58/56 | 15 | Cytoplasm | Protein degradation | 1.43 | 1.33 | -1.07 | K.ALEQKPDDAQYYCQR.AK.LDIETGFHR.V |
| 250 | P27797 | Calreticulin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 48,283 | 4.29 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 115 / 56 \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Signal transduction/ Ca regulation | 1.39 | 1.3 | -1.07 | K.EQFLDGDGWTSR.W |
| 429 | P05787 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal $8^{\text {b }}$ | 53,671 | 5.52 | 9 | 83/56 | 21 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | 1.34 | 1.3 | -1.03 | K.WSLLQQQKTAR.SR.LEGLTDEINFLR.Q |
| 809 | P21796 | Voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein $1^{c}$ | 30,868 | 8.62 | 6 | 76/56 | 26 | Mitochondrion | Transport | 1.4 | 1.29 | $-1.08$ | K.GYGFGLIK.LR.WTEYGLTFTEK.W |
| 706 | P04075 | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 39,851 | 8.3 | 6 | 77/56 | 28 | Cytoplasm | Metabolism | 1.36 | 1.26 | -1.09 | M.PYQYPALTPEQK.KK.ADDGRPFPQVIK.S |
| 993 | P09211 | Glutathione S-transferase $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 23,569 | 5.43 | 7 | 102/56 | 45 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | 1.37 | 1.26 | $-1.09$ | M.PPYTVVYFPVV.GK. FQDGDLTLYQSNTILR.H |
| 525 | P05783 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal $18^{\text {c }}$ | 48,029 | 5.34 | 7 | 68/56 | 14 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | $-1.47$ | 1.24 | 1.82 | R.STESTNYR.SR.DWSHYFK.I |
| 180 | P38646 | Stress-70 protein, mitochondrial ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 73,920 | 5.87 | 9 | 93/56 | 16 | Mitochondrion | Protein folding | 1.41 | 1.24 | -1.14 | R.TTPSVVAFTADGER.LK. NAVITVPAYFNDSQR.Q |
| 964 | P60174 | Triosephosphate isomerase ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26,938 | 6.45 | 7 | 103/56 | 39 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 1.37 | 1.16 | -1.19 | K.FFVGGNWK.MK. VPADTEVVCAPPTAYIDFAR.Q |
| 195 | P08107 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heat shock } 70 \mathrm{kDa} \\ & \text { protein } 1^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 70,294 | 5.48 | 6 | 66/56 | 11 | Cytoplasm | Protein folding | 1.61 | 1.14 | -1.41 | R.TTPSYVAFTDTER.LK. <br> DAGVIAGLNVLR.I |
| 966 | P60174 | Triosephosphate isomerase ${ }^{\text {b,d }}$ | 26,938 | 6.45 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 / 5698 / 56 \\ & \text { (MS/MS) } \\ & 79 / 56 \\ & \text { (MS/MS) } \end{aligned}$ | 325 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 1.38 | 1.13 | -1.22 | R.KFFVGGNWK.MK. <br> VPADTEVVCAPPTAYIDFAR.Q |
| 700 | P04264 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal $1^{\text {c }}$ | 66,149 | 8.16 | 6 | 66/56 | 15 | Cell Membrane | Cytoskeleton | 1.3 | 1.12 | -1.16 | R.SGGGFSSGSAGIINYQR.R R.FLEQQNQVLQTK.W |
| 154 | P11021 | 78 kDa glucoseregulated protein ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 72,402 | 5.07 | 14 | 138/56 | 22 | Endoplasmic Reticulum | Biosynthesis | 1.3 | 1.04 | -1.25 | R.VEIIANDQGNR.IR.ITPSYVAFTPEGER.L |
| 477 | Q8WUD1 | Ras-related protein Rab-2B ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 24,427 | 7.68 | 5 | 72/56 | 24 | Cell Membrane | Signal transduction | 1.32 | 1.03 | -1.29 | R.SITRSYYR.GR.GAAGALLVYDITRR.E |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average ratio of differential expression ( $P<0.05$ ) of UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells in response to $0.3 \%$ HMW-HA $/ 0.05 \%$ HMW-HA treatments with a great than 1.3 -fold differences are listed in this table. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Identified proteins are common to treatments with UVB and UVB + HA. ${ }^{\text {I Identified proteins are specific for HA treatment but not for UVB treatment. }}$ TOF MS sequence analysis.
TABLE IV. Alphabetic List of Identified Differentially Down-Regulated Proteins After 2D-DIGE Coupled With MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry Analysis in UVB-Irradiated HCE-2 Cells in Response to HMW-HA Treatment

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spot } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Swiss-prot no. | Protein name | MW ${ }_{\text {pI }} \mathrm{m}^{\text {p }}$ | No matched peptides | MOWSES <br> score | eq cov. (\%) | Subcellular location | Functional classification | 0.05\% HMW-H <br> UVB treated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | .3\% HMW-HA <br> UVB treated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & / 0.3 \% \text { HMW-HA/ } \\ & 0.05 \% \text { HMW-HA } \end{aligned}$ | Representative matched peptides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 912 | P63104 | 14-3-3 protein zeta/delta ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 27,8994.73 | 9 | 98/56 | 35 | Cytoplasm | Signal transduction | -1.3 | -1.21 | 1.08 | K.NELVQKAK.LK.SVTEQGAELSNEER.N |
| 523 | P60709 | Actin, cytoplasmic $1^{\text {c }}$ | 42,0525.29 | 8 | 77/56 | 26 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.71 | -1.01 | 1.69 | K.AGFAGDDAPR.AK.IWHHTFYNELR.V |
| 558 | P60709 | Actin, cytoplasmic $1^{\text {c }}$ | 42,0525.29 | 7 | 76/56 | 26 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.45 | -1.08 | 1.34 | K.AGFAGDDAPR.AK.IWHHTFYNELR.V |
| 1265 | P54819 | Adenylate kinase isoenzyme 2, mitochondrial ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26,6897.67 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 57 / 56 \\ (\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}) \end{gathered}$ | 4 | Mitochondrion | Biosynthesis | -1.36 | -1.22 | 1.12 | K.NGFLLDGFPR.T |
| 508 | P31930 | Cytochrome b-c1 complex subunit 1, mitochondrial ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 53,2975.94 | 7 | 67/56 | 15 | Mitochondrion | Electron transport | -2.63 | -1.99 | 1.32 | K.NNGAGYFLEHLAFK.G R. <br> NALVSHLDGTTPVCEDIGR.S |
| 536 | Q8N3Y1 | F-box/WD repeat-containing protein $8^{\text {c }}$ | 67,7685.32 | 5 | 66/56 | 11 | Cytoplasm | Protein degradation | $-1.33$ | -1.06 | 1.26 | R.ARRPEVGSGR.SR.AEGQDVASRSR.S |
| 779 | P04406 | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase ${ }^{c}$ | 36,2018.57 | 5 | 69/56 | 17 | Cytoplasm | Carbohydrate metabolism | 1.17 | -1.33 | -1.56 | K.VGVNGFGR.IK.LVINGNPITIFQER.D |
| 867 | P63244 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-2-like $1^{\text {b }}$ | 35,511 7.6 | 7 | 104/56 | 28 | Cell Membrane | Signal transduction | -1.32 | -1.17 | 1.13 | R.DETNYGIPQR.AR.LWDLTTGTTTR.R |
| 1102 | P19105 | Myosin regulatory light chain MRLC3 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 19,8394.67 | 8 | 97/56 | 57 | Cytoplasm | Cell motility | -1.12 | -1.46 | -1.3 | K.LNGTDPEDVIR.NR. <br> NAFACFDEEATGTIQEDYLR.E |
| 828 | P48739 | Phosphatidylinositol transfer protein beta isoform ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31,8056.41 | 6 | 81/56 | 18 | Cytoplasm | Transport | $-1.25$ | -1.49 | -1.2 | K.SKVPAFVR.MK.AWNAYPYCR.T |
| 362 | P68363 | Tubulin alpha-1B chain ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 50,8044.94 | 9 | 120/56 | 26 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -3.14 | -2.14 | 1.47 | R.AVFVDLEPTVIDEVR.TR. QLFHPEQLITGK.E |
| 1275 | P07437 | Tubulin beta chain ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 50,0954.78 | 7 | 76/56 | 19 | Cytoplasm | Cytoskeleton | -1.41 | -1.17 | 1.2 | R.AILVDLEPGTMDSVR.SK. GHYTEGAELVDSVLDVVR.K |
| 1067 | 075223 | Uncharacterized protein C7orf24/Gammaglutamylcyclotransferase ${ }^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ | 21,2225.07 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 114 / 56 \\ \text { (MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | 5 | Cytoplasm | Redox regulation | -1.37 | -1.11 | 1.23 | R.NPSAAFFCVAR.L |


${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Identified proteins are common to treatments with UVB and UVB + HA.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Identified proteins are specific for HA treatment but not for UVB treatment.
 MS sequence analysis.


Fig. 10. Effect of UVB-irradiation on cell proliferation of mPR siRNA and MDH2 siRNA-silenced HCE-2 cells. Efficiency of mPR siRNA and MDH2 siRNA on the inhibition of mPR and MDH2 expression in HCE-2 cells were determined with siRNA silencing and immunoblot analysis. HCE-2 cells grown overnight were treated with indicated concentrations of mPR-specific siRNA (A) or 60 nM MDH2-specific siRNA (B) for 24 hr. Expression of mPR and MDH2 in HCE-2 cells were monitored with immunoblotting by using primary antibodies against mPR and MDH2, respectively. MTT-based proliferation assays were subsequently performed where $5,000 \mathrm{HCE}-2$ cells seeded into 96 -well plate for overnight incubation followed by pre-treated with (A) 60 nM mPR-specific siRNA or (B) 60 nM MDH2-specific siRNA combining with corresponding scramble siRNA. After 24 hr , cells were irradiated with indicated doses of UVB followed by incubated with MTT and then DMSO added and the plates shaken for 20 min followed by measurement of the absorbance at 540 nm . Values were normalized against the scramble siRNA samples and are the average of six independent measurements $\pm$ SD.
data are associated with protein deregulation including calpain, cofilin-1, fascin, LIM, SH3 domain protein 1, microtubule-associated protein $\mathrm{RP} / \mathrm{EB}$ family member 1 , profilin-1, and tubulins in our proteomic analysis. The in
vitro incubation with HA significantly reversed certain UVB-induced corneal epithelial cell responses. HA, a viscous biopolymer covered on the outer surface of the plasma membrane, has been reported to potentially neutralize ROS and cytotoxins [Nakamura et al., 1997; Pauloin et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010]. A previous report indicated that actinoquinol-HA eye drops reduced alterations in the corneal optics and suppressed oxidative damage in UVBirradiated corneas. However, the effective corneal protection provided by these eye drops was limited to the lower UVB dose [Cejka et al., 2010]. The biological functions of HA rely on its number of disaccharides [Toole, 2004a]. Accordingly, we focused on the correlation between the molecular weight of HA and its ability against UVBinduced corneal epithelial cell damage. We initially aimed to clarify the role of HA during UVB irradiation. The results showed that HMW-HA was superior to LMW-HA in reducing the toxic UVB-induced effects and recovering UVB-induced impairments in wound healing and cell migration. HMW-HA was also found to modulate the expression of cytoskeletal proteins (such as actins and tubulins) and migration-regulated proteins (such as myosin regulatory light chain MRLC3) after encountering UVBinduced damage. Our immunofluorescence data also suggested that HMW-HA might promote F-actin polarity and restore the wound closure ability implying HMW-HA, presumably leading to the efficient regulation of protrusion dynamics and the wound healing of UVB-damaged corneal cells.

HA was reported to specifically act with cell-surface receptor protein CD44 [Toole, 2004]. The interaction between CD44 and HA is recognized as important in wound healing and tumor metastasis [Isacke and Yarwood, 2002]. A previous report demonstrated that CD44 activation increases the secretion of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) to stimulate cell migration, wound healing, and cancer cell metastasis through the aid of matrix metalloproteinase-14 (MMP-14) [Takahashi et al., 1999]. A recent report showed that HMW-HA stimulates cell differentiation but has no considerable effect on the CD44 level, whereas LMW-HA inhibits cell differentiation and diminishes the CD44 level. This finding suggests that HMW-HA is more potent in wound healing compared to the wound-healing ability of LMW-HA because of the differential expression of CD44 [Maharjan et al., 2011]. Our data are in agreement with these observations, demonstrating that HMW-HA has a significant effect on UVB-induced corneal epithelial wound healing. The CD44 receptor has also been shown to express on the surface of corneal epithelial cells [Pauloin et al., 2008], implying a close relationship between HA and the plasma membrane through the CD44 receptor in maintaining the plasma membrane integrity of corneal cells during UVBinduced damage. Apart from the molecular weight, our
findings showed that the HA concentration is crucial for protecting corneal cells from UVB-induced injury. Our data showed that $0.3 \%$ HMW-HA is the optimal concentration for protecting corneal epithelial cells from UVB-induced damage. At this concentration, HMW-HA might constitute a proper biopolymer structure that covers the outer surface of the plasma membrane by interacting with the CD44 receptors. The CD44-bound HMW-HA might thus promote cell proliferation signals [Wang et al., 2011] or mask death receptors from activation to maintain cell function and survival [Pauloin et al., 2009]. Cell proliferation and protection ability dropped dramatically if the HMW-HA concentration was higher than $0.5 \%$ (data not shown), implying that high concentrations of HA might interrupt the metabolism, nutrients, and gas exchange. Miyauchi et al. [1990] reported a similar observation, showing that concentrated HA is substantially more viscous than the culture medium that inhibits epithelial migration, nutrient diffusion, and cell metabolism.

In our proteomic analysis of UVB-irradiated corneal cells, we selected 2 potential target proteins, mPR and MDH2, for further evaluation of their roles in the response to UVB irradiation because they each exhibited significant upregulation in UVB-irradiated HCE-2 during proteomic analysis and were shown to play roles in cell signaling and exchanging reduced equivalents. Previous studies have shown that cancer cells overexpress mPR compared to normal cells, and thus, mPR is recognized as an important marker for tumors and cancer progression [Cahill, 2007; Xu et al., 2011]. The mPR protein is involved in the control of cancer cell proliferation and growth [Neubauer et al., 2009] through direct interactions between its cytochrome b5-binding domain and target proteins, such as Insig-1 [Yang et al., 2002]. Recent studies have reported that mPR mediates the progesterone anti-apoptotic function [Peluso et al., 2006] and induces Akt phosphorylation to promote cell survival [Neubauer et al., 2008]. However, no study has reported the role of mPR in the cell response to UVB. Thus, our result suggests that mPR might protect corneal cells from UVB-induced damage through the activation of the PI3 kinase pathway. The second target protein, MDH2, catalyzes malate oxidation in the TCA cycle and generates NADPH in the malate-aspartate shuttle. The generated NADPH is an important source for the reducing power of cells, which might reduce UVB-induced ROS in corneal cells.

A recent study reported that ERp29 overexpression attenuates cell apoptosis through the upregulation of heat shock protein beta-1 in breast cancer cells [Zhang and Putti, 2010]. This observation is in partial agreement with our study, which shows that both ERp29 and heat shock protein beta-1 exhibit significant upregulation in expression following the UVB irradiation of HCE-2 cells. The

ERp29 protein may downregulate eIF2 $\alpha$ expression and further stimulates the activity of heat shock protein beta1. This process might alleviate unfolded and misfolded protein-induced ER stress in UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells.

During UVB irradiation, numerous ROS accumulate, leading to cell damage or even cell death. Cells accordingly develop defense mechanisms, including the overexpression of redox-modulated proteins to scavenge UVBinduced ROS. We demonstrated the upregulation of glutathione $S$-transferase P, peroxiredoxin-1, peroxiredoxin-4, Protein DJ-1, and thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase in UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells, implying that these redox-regulatory proteins reduce ROS levels and prevent ROS-induced cytotoxicity in UVB-irradiated cells. A similar report by Black et al. revealed that UVB modulates the corneal epithelial cell expression of antioxidants (Mn-SOD, catalase, heme oxygenase-1, and glutathione $S$-transferase) and proinflammatory mediators (interferongamma, IL-1beta, TGF-beta, TNF-alpha, and cyclooxy-genase-2). Alterations in the expression of these mediators are likely important in regulating inflammation and protecting the cornea from UVB-induced oxidative stress [Black et al., 2011].

Several key regulatory proteins mediate the interaction of heat shock proteins to inhibit apoptosis. The intrinsic pathway of caspase-mediated apoptosis is stimulated by c-Jun kinase, resulting in the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria, and the subsequent activation of a caspase cascade involving caspase 8 and caspase 3 . They are each inhibited by heat shock cognate 71, which interacts with Bcl-2 through Bag-1, enabling incorporation of the complex into the mitochondrial membrane to inhibit apoptosis [Takayama et al., 1998]. Heat shock protein beta- 1 inhibits the extrinsic apoptotic pathway of caspase 9 through death receptors [Concannon et al., 2001; Hsu et al., 2011]. In this study, heat shock cognate 71 and heat shock protein beta- 1 were both upregulated in UVB-irradiated HCE-2 cells, implying that heat shock cognate 71 and heat shock protein beta-1 are essential for protecting HCE-2 cells from UVB-induced apoptosis.
In conclusion, we performed a comprehensive proteomic analysis after irradiating corneal epithelial cells with UVB. We identified differentially expressed proteins participating in numerous cellular responses against UVB irradiation. We also showed that HMWHA significantly reduces UVB-induced cytotoxic effects in corneal cells and increases cell migration and the wound-healing ability. We confirmed the potency of mPR and MDH2 in protecting corneal cells from UVB irradiation. Thus, our study serves as a suitable reference for clinical practice. Our findings can be applied to eye drops in repairing UVB-induced damage of the ocular surface and may be used to design biomaterials to accelerate wound healing.
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